

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/046,715	01/17/2002	Yasuyuki Anami	04739.0074	4891	
22852 FINNEGAN I	7590 04/07/200 HENDERSON, FARAE	EXAM	EXAMINER		
LLP			PROCTOR, JASON SCOTT		
901 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001-4413		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
······································	WASHINGTON, DC 20001-1415		2123		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/07/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/046,715	ANAMI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
JASON PROCTOR	2123		

	JASON PROCTOR	2123	l
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 31 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi pal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed date of the final rejection.	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or within one of the follow	which places the r (3) a Request wing time
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	on.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07().		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee te action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed). 	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);	
(c) M They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s).		•	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) I how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		I be entered and an e	cplanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-21</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement(s)</i> . (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
_			
	/Kidest Bahta/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2123	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly claimed "first" and "second reference surface", "corresponding surface group", and error determination are new limitations which require further search and consideration.

Additionally, Applicants appear to claim "a control section" that performs an error determination by a creating a corresponding surface group, however the specification appears to teach that a human operator performs this step (spec, page 29, line 28, Applicants do not appear to have invented "a control section" according to the language of the independent claims. The "modules" of claims 17-21 appear to have a similar deficiency.

Additionally, the amendments to at least claims 12 and 13 have significant typographical errors.

Additionally, claim language such as "a control section which creates a corresponding surface group to determine if there are errors in the shape caused arising from second reference surface" [claim 11] appear to be vague and indefinite. It is undear whether Applicants claim a separate step of determining an error (using a corresponding surface group?) and it is unclear what type of errors are to be found. This type of language is found in severor (using a corresponding surface group?) and it is unclear what type of errors are to be found. This type of language is found in several claims.